

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/641,519	08/21/2000		Kevin J. Ryan	M4065.0290/P290	8610
24998	7590	08/06/2004		EXAMINER	
DICKSTEI 2101 L STR		RO MORIN & C	PEUGH, BRIAN R		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2187	

DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/641,519	RYAN, KEVIN J.					
navicely notion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian R. Peugh	2187					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · ———						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>8-12,15,16,20,21,30-34,37,38,42,43,47,48,52 and 53</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-7,13,14,17-19,22,23,26-29,35,36,39-41,44-46,49-51 and 54-58.</u>							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. ☐ Other:							
	BEST AVAILA	BLE COPY					

7/30/04

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment of 6/24/04 includes proposed claim amendments. The proposed claim amendments to claim 1, for example, appear to have taken into account the limitations of claims 4, 6, 7, and 8. The Final Office Action of April 8, 2004 indicated that claim 8, for example, would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 8 depends upon parent claims 1, 4, 6, and 7. The claim limitations of claims 4 and 8 are not present in the proposed claim 1, and only portions of claims 6 and 7 appear in proposed claim 1. Also, proposed claim 1 indicates the removal of a number of previously claimed limitations. Therefore, the proposed amendments to at least claim 1 would require further search and/or consideration by the Examiner.

DONALD SPARKS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY